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#### Abstract

In Kenig and Toro's two-phase free boundary problem, one studies how the regularity of the Radon-Nikodym derivative $h=d \omega^{-} / d \omega^{+}$of harmonic measures on complementary NTA domains controls the geometry of their common boundary. It is now known that $\log h \in C^{0, \alpha}(\partial \Omega)$ implies that pointwise the boundary has a unique blow-up, which is the zero set of a homogeneous harmonic polynomial. In this note, we give examples of domains with $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$ whose boundaries have points with non-unique blow-ups. Philosophically the examples arise from oscillating or rotating a blow-up limit by an infinite amount, but very slowly.


## 1. Introduction

In this note, we answer a question about uniqueness of blow-ups in non-variational two-phase free boundary problems for harmonic measure in the negative. Throughout, we let $\Omega^{+}=\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\Omega^{-}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$ denote complementary unbounded domains with a common boundary $\partial \Omega=\partial \Omega^{+}=\partial \Omega^{-}$. Furthermore, we require that $\Omega^{ \pm}$belong to the class of NTA domains in the sense of Jerison and Kenig JK82]. Let $\omega^{ \pm}$denote harmonic measures on $\Omega^{ \pm}$with finite poles $X^{ \pm}$or with poles at infinity (see Kenig and Toro [KT99]). Finally, we assume $\omega^{+} \ll \omega^{-} \ll \omega^{+}$and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{d \omega^{-}}{d \omega^{+}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of harmonic measure on one side of the boundary with respect to harmonic measure on the other side. We are interested in understanding how different regularity assumptions on $h$ controls the geometry of $\partial \Omega$.

Following Kenig and Toro [KT06] and Badger Bad11], we know if $\log h \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(d \omega^{+}\right)$ (vanishing mean oscillation) or $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$ (continuous), then the boundary admits a finite decomposition into pairwise disjoint sets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{d_{0}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where geometric blow-ups (tangent sets) of $\partial \Omega$ centered at any $Q \in \Gamma_{d}\left(1 \leq d \leq d_{0}\right)$ are zero sets $\Sigma_{p}$ of homogeneous harmonic polynomials (hhp) $p: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree $d$. That is to say, given any boundary point $Q \in \Gamma_{d}$ and any sequence of scales $r_{i}>0$ with $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{i}=0$, there exists a subsequence $r_{i_{j}}$ and a hhp $p$ of degree $d$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{\operatorname{excess}\left(\frac{\partial \Omega-Q}{r_{i_{j}}} \cap B, \Sigma_{p}\right), \text { excess }\left(\Sigma_{p} \cap B, \frac{\partial \Omega-Q}{r_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every ball $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Here excess $(S, T)=\sup _{s \in S} \inf _{t \in T}|s-t|$ when $S, T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are nonempty and $\operatorname{excess}(\emptyset, T)=0$; see [BL15] for more information about this mode of convergence of closed sets (the Attouch-Wets topology). Following [Bad13] and [BET17], we further know that the regular set $\Gamma_{1}$ is relatively open, Reifenberg flat with vanishing constant, and has Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions $n-1$, whereas the singular set $\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ is closed and has Hausdorff and Minkowski dimension at most $n-3$.

We remark that the maximum degree $d_{0}$ witnessed in the decomposition (1.2) can be bounded in terms of the ambient dimension and the NTA constants of $\Omega^{ \pm}$. When $n=2$, it is always the case that $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1}$. When $n=3$, we have $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{3} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{2 d_{1}+1}$ (odd degrees only) and for every odd $d \geq 1$, there exist two-sided domains with $\Gamma_{d} \neq \emptyset$. In dimensions $n \geq 4$, for every integer $d \geq 1$, even or odd, there exist two-sided domains with $\Gamma_{d} \neq \emptyset$. See BET17] for details and AMT20, PT20, TT22] for additional results on the regularity of $\Gamma_{1}$.

One may ask: Are the blow-ups at each point in $\partial \Omega$ unique? In other words, is the zero set $\Sigma_{p}$ in (1.3) independent of choice of the sequence of scales $r_{i}$ ? Under a stronger free boundary regularity hypothesis, the answer is affirmative. Following Engelstein Eng16] and [BET20], we know that if $\log h \in C^{0, \alpha}(\partial \Omega)$ for some $\alpha>0$ (Hölder continuous), then blow-ups are unique. Moreover, when $\log h \in C^{0, \alpha}(\partial \Omega)$, the regular set $\Gamma_{1}$ is actually a $C^{1, \alpha}$ embedded submanifold and the singular set $\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ is $(n-3)$-rectifiable in the sense of geometric measure theory (see e.g. Mat95]). Below, we supply examples demonstrating that under the weaker regularity hypothesis $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$, there may exist points in the boundary that have non-unique blow-ups.

Theorem 1.1. For each $d \in\{1,3\}$, there exist complementary $N T A$ domains $\Omega^{ \pm} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$, but there exists a point in $\Gamma_{d}$ at which geometric blow-ups of $\partial \Omega$ are not unique.

Remark 1.2. In fact, the domains that we construct below have locally finite perimeter and Ahlfors regular boundaries: that is, there exists $C>0$ (depending on $\Omega$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} r^{n-1} \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial \Omega \cap B(Q, r)) \leq C r^{n-1} \quad \text { for all } Q \in \partial \Omega \text { and } r>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ denotes the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Even more, the boundaries of the domains are smooth surfaces outside of a single point.

The basic strategy is to start with a blow-up domain $\Omega_{p}^{ \pm}=\left\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \pm p(X)>0\right\}$ associated to a hhp $p$ of degree $d$, which has $\log h \equiv 0$ and $0 \in \Gamma_{d}$. We then deform the domain near the origin by introducing rotations/oscillations at each scale $0<r \leq 1 / 100$
so that the magnitude of the oscillation at scale $r$ vanishes as $r \rightarrow 0$. The tension in the proof becomes choosing the correct speed of vanishing. On the one hand, by choosing the speed to be sufficiently quick, we can guarantee by making estimates on elliptic measure that the deformed domain has $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$. On the other hand, by choosing the speed to be sufficiently slow, we can guarantee that the deformed domain has uncountably many blow-ups at the origin, each of which are rotations of the original domain.

Remark 1.3. By a suitable modification, the technique introduced in the case $d=3$ can be used to show existence of domains with $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$ and non-unique blow-ups at an isolated point $Q \in \Gamma_{d}$ for any value of $d \geq 2$. When $d \geq 3$ is odd, the examples can be produced in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. When $d \geq 2$ is even, the examples can be produced in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

In a related context, Allen and Kriventsov AK20] use conformal maps to construct domains $\Omega^{ \pm}=\left\{u^{ \pm}>0\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ associated to non-negative subharmonic functions $u^{ \pm}$for which the Alt-Caffarelli-Friedman functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(r, u^{+}, u^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{r^{4}} \int_{B_{r}(0)} \frac{\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2}}{|X|^{n-2}} \int_{B_{r}(0)} \frac{\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2}}{|X|^{n-2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a positive limit as $r \rightarrow 0$, but whose interface $\partial \Omega=\partial \Omega^{+}=\partial \Omega^{-}$does not have a unique tangent plane at the origin. It would be interesting to know whether a suitable modification of their examples satisfy $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$. For more on the connection between the ACF functional and two-phase free boundary problems for harmonic measure (originally observed by Kenig, Preiss, and Toro [KPT09]), see [AKN22, §2.2] and the references within.

We handle the case $d=3$ of Theorem 1.1 in $\S 2$ and the case $d=1$ in $\S 3$,
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## 2. The First Example: Non-Unique Singular Tangents

2.1. Description and Geometric Properties. We begin with Szulkin's example [Szu79] of a degree 3 hhp ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x, y, z)=x^{3}-3 x y^{2}+z^{3}-1.5\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) z, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the interesting feature that its zero set $\Sigma_{s}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. See Figure 2.1. Because $\Sigma_{s}$ is a cone ( $s$ is homogeneous) and $\Sigma_{s} \cap S^{2}$ is a smooth curve ${ }^{1}$, it follows that $\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: \pm s(x, y, z)>0\right\}$ are complementary NTA domains. Note that the positive $z$-axis belongs to $\Omega_{s}^{+}$and the negative $z$-axis belongs to $\Omega_{s}^{-}$, since $s(0,0, \pm 1)= \pm 1$.
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Figure 2.1. Left: Szulkin $\Sigma_{s}$, viewed from the $z$-axis. Center: the curve formed by intersection of Szulkin $\Sigma_{s}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, viewed from a different angle. Right: Szulkin $\Sigma_{s}$ inside of the annulus $1 / 2<r<1$, viewed from the $z$-axis.




Figure 2.2. Examples of twisted Szulkin domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$defined using various rotation functions $\theta(r)$.
Left: $\theta(r)=\log (-\log (r))$; the domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$are NTA and $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$.
Center: $\theta(r)=-\log (r)$; the domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$are NTA, but $\log h \notin \operatorname{VMO}\left(d \omega^{+}\right)$.
Right: $\theta(r)=(-\log (r))^{2}$; the domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$are not NTA.

To build $\Omega^{ \pm}$, we deform $\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}$by rotating spherical shells $\Sigma_{s} \cap \partial B_{r}(0)$ in the $x y$-plane. More precisely, we put $\Omega^{ \pm}=\left\{ \pm s_{\text {twist }}>0\right\}$, where $s_{\text {twist }} \equiv s \circ \Phi_{-\theta}$ and $\Phi_{ \pm \theta}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ are homeomorphisms given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{ \pm \theta}(x, y, z)=(x \cos ( \pm \theta)-y \sin ( \pm \theta), x \sin ( \pm \theta)+y \cos ( \pm \theta), z)  \tag{2.2}\\
\theta \equiv \theta(r):=\log (-\log (r)) \quad \text { for all } 0<r:=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}} \leq 1 / 100 \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and we smoothly interpolate to $\theta(r):=0$ for all $r \geq 1$. See Figure 2.2 .
If $s_{\text {twist }}(x, y, z)=0$, then $\Phi_{-\theta}(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{s}$. Hence the interface $\Sigma=\partial \Omega^{ \pm}=\Phi_{\theta}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$. Similarly, $\Omega^{ \pm}=\Phi_{\theta}\left(\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}\right)$.

Remark 2.1. Let us collect some simple, but useful observations about $\theta$ and $\Phi_{\theta}$.
(i) For any $\theta_{0} \in[0,2 \pi)$, there exists a sequence $r_{i} \downarrow 0$ such that $\theta\left(r_{i}\right)=\theta_{0}(\bmod 2 \pi)$, i.e. such that $\min _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\theta\left(r_{i}\right)-\theta_{0}-2 \pi k\right|=0$ for all $i \geq 1$.
(ii) For any sequence $r_{i} \downarrow 0$, there exists $\theta_{0} \in[0,2 \pi)$ and a $r_{i_{j}} \downarrow 0$ such that $\theta\left(r_{i_{j}}\right) \rightarrow \theta_{0}$ $(\bmod 2 \pi)$, i.e. $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \min _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\theta\left(r_{i_{j}}\right)-\theta_{0}-2 \pi k\right|=0$.
(iii) For all $0<r \leq 1 / 100$, we have $|\nabla \theta|=1 /(-r \log (r))$ and $\left|\partial_{i j} \theta\right| \leq C /\left(-r^{2} \log (r)\right)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$.
(iv) For all $(x, y, z)$ with $0<r \leq 1 / 100$, we can write $D \Phi_{\theta}=R_{\theta}+E_{\theta}$, where

$$
R_{\theta}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) & 0 \\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a rotation matrix and the "error matrix" $E_{\theta}$ is such that $\left\|E_{\theta}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C /(-\log (r))$, where the norm is the sup norm on the entries of $E_{\theta}$.
(v) The map $\Phi_{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a quasiconformal homeomorphism, with $\Phi_{\theta}^{-1}=\Phi_{-\theta}$. Moreover, $\Phi_{\theta}$ is asymptotically conformal at the origin.

Proof. The first property holds since $\theta(r)$ is continuous in $r$ and $\theta(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \downarrow 0$. The second property is true by compactness of the torus $\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi$. The third property is a straightforward computation. By another straightforward (if tedious) computation, $D \Phi_{\theta}=R_{\theta}+E_{\theta}$, where $R_{\theta}$ is as above and $E_{\theta}$ is the rank 1 matrix given by

$$
E_{\theta}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-x \sin (\theta)-y \cos (\theta) \\
x \cos (\theta)-y \sin (\theta) \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\theta_{x} & \theta_{y} & \theta_{z}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let's examine the $(1,1)$ entry of $E_{\theta}$. Since $\theta_{x}=\theta^{\prime}(r) r_{x}=\theta^{\prime}(r) x / r$ and $|x| \leq r$, we have

$$
\left|x \theta_{x} \sin (-\theta)+y \theta_{x} \cos (-\theta)\right| \leq 2 r\left|\theta^{\prime}(r)\right| \leq 2 /(-\log r)
$$

The other non-zero entries of $E_{\theta}$ obey the same estimate. This gives the fourth property. To prove that $\Phi_{\theta}$ is quasiconformal (see e.g. Hei06]), it suffices to check that $\Phi_{\theta} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, n}$ and there exists $1 \leq L<\infty$ such that the a.e. defined singular values $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3}$ of $D \Phi_{\theta}$ satisfy $\lambda_{3} \leq L \lambda_{1}$ a.e. These facts follow from property (iv) and the variational characterization of the minimum and maximum singular values. Furthermore, as $r \downarrow 0$, the maximum ratio of $\lambda_{3} / \lambda_{1}$ in $B_{r}$ goes to 1 . Therefore, $\Phi_{\theta}$ is asymptotically conformal at the origin.

The Hausdorff distance $\operatorname{HD}(A, B)=\max \{\operatorname{excess}(A, B)$, excess $(B, A)\}$ for all nonempty sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that $\operatorname{HD}(\lambda A, \lambda B)=\lambda \operatorname{HD}(A, B)$ for any dilation factor $\lambda>0$.

Lemma 2.2 (twisted Szulkin vs. rotations of Szulkin). If $r, \epsilon, R>0$ and $0<R r \leq 1 / 100$, then $\operatorname{HD}\left(\Sigma \cap B_{R r}, R_{\theta(r)} \Sigma_{s} \cap B_{R r}\right) \leq C \max (\epsilon r, \sup \{q|\theta(q)-\theta(r)|: \epsilon r \leq q \leq R r\})$.

Proof. For any $p \in B_{\epsilon r}$, we have $\operatorname{dist}\left(p, R_{\theta(r)} \Sigma_{s} \cap B_{R r}\right) \leq 2 \epsilon r$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(p, \Sigma \cap B_{R r}\right) \leq 2 \epsilon r$, since $0 \in R_{\theta(r)} \Sigma_{s}$ and $0 \in \Sigma$. Thus, the main issue is to estimate distances inside $B_{R r} \backslash B_{\epsilon r}$.

Let $p \in \Sigma \cap B_{R r} \backslash B_{\epsilon r}$, say $p \in \Sigma \cap \partial B_{q}$ with $\epsilon r \leq q \leq R r$. Then we may write $p=R_{\theta(q)} x$ for some $x \in \Sigma_{s}$. Let's estimate $\operatorname{dist}\left(p, R_{\theta(r)} \Sigma_{s} \cap B_{R r}\right)$ from above by the distance of $p$ to
the point $y=R_{\theta(r)} x \in R_{\theta(r)} \Sigma_{s} \cap \partial B_{q}$. Note that $y=R_{\theta(r)} x=R_{\theta(r)} R_{-\theta(q)} p=R_{\theta(r)-\theta(q)} p$ and $|y|=|p|=q$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|p-y| & \leq q|(1,0,0)-(\cos (\theta(q)-\theta(r)), \sin (\theta(q)-\theta(r)), 0)| \\
& =q(2-2 \cos (\theta(q)-\theta(r)))^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C q|\theta(q)-\theta(r)|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality holds by geometric considerations and the last inequality used the Taylor series expansion for cosine.

A similar inequality holds starting from any $p \in R_{\theta(r)} \Sigma_{s} \cap B_{R r} \backslash B_{\epsilon r}$.
Lemma 2.3. With $\theta(r)=\log (-\log (r))$, the twisted Szulkin domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$as defined above are chord-arc domains (i.e. NTA domains with Ahlfors regular boundaries). The interface $\Sigma=\partial \Omega^{ \pm}$has a continuum of blow-ups at the origin, each of which is a rotation of $\Sigma_{s}$ in the $x y$-plane.

Proof. The domains $\Omega^{ \pm}=\Phi_{\theta}\left(\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}\right)$are NTA, because global quasiconformal maps send NTA domains to NTA domains. Every boundary of an NTA domain is lower Ahlfors regular (see e.g. Bad12, Lemma 2.3]). Thus, $\Sigma$ is lower Ahlfors regular. To check upper Ahlfors regularity, first note that $\Sigma_{s}$ is upper Ahlfors regular, since $\Sigma_{s}$ can be covered by a finite number of Lipschitz graphs. Since $\left\|\operatorname{det}\left(D \Phi_{\theta}\right)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$, it follows that $\Sigma=\Phi_{\theta}\left(\Sigma_{s}\right)$ is upper Ahlfors regular, as well.

Let's address the blow-ups of $\partial \Omega$ at the origin. Let $r_{i} \downarrow 0$ and suppose initially that $\theta\left(r_{i}\right)=\theta_{0}(\bmod 2 \pi)$ for all $i$. Let $\epsilon(r)$ be a function of $r$ to be specified below. Let $R \gg 1$ be a large radius. By Lemma 2.2, the homogeneity of the Hausdorff distance, and the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HD}\left(r_{i}^{-1}\right. & \left.\Sigma \cap B_{R}, R_{\theta_{0}} \Sigma_{s} \cap B_{R}\right) \\
& \leq C r_{i}^{-1} \max \left(\epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i}, \sup \left\{q\left|\theta(q)-\theta\left(r_{i}\right)\right|: \epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) r_{i} \leq q \leq R r_{i}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq C \max \left(\epsilon\left(r_{i}\right), \sup \left\{t\left|\theta\left(t r_{i}\right)-\theta\left(r_{i}\right)\right|: \epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) \leq t \leq R\right\}\right) \\
& \leq C \max \left(\epsilon\left(r_{i}\right), R(R-1) r_{i} \sup \left\{\left|\theta^{\prime}\left(t r_{i}\right)\right|: \epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) \leq t \leq R\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Our task is to choose $\epsilon\left(r_{i}\right)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon\left(r_{i}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{r_{i}\left|\theta^{\prime}\left(t r_{i}\right)\right|: \epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) \leq t \leq R\right\}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\theta^{\prime}(r)\right|=1 /(-r \log r)$, we have $\sup \left\{r_{i}\left|\theta^{\prime}\left(t r_{i}\right)\right|: \epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) \leq t \leq R\right\} \leq 1 /\left(-\epsilon\left(r_{i}\right) \log \left(R r_{i}\right)\right)$ for all sufficiently large $i$ (i.e. for all sufficiently small $r_{i}$ ). Thus, (2.4) is satisfied (e.g.) by choosing $\epsilon(r)=|\log (r)|^{-1 / 2}$. It follows that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{HD}\left(r_{i}^{-1} \Sigma \cap B_{R}, R_{\theta_{0}} \Sigma_{s} \cap B_{R}\right)=0$ for all $R>0$. This implies that $\Sigma / r_{i}$ converge to $R_{\theta_{0}} \Sigma_{s}$ in the sense of 1.3).

In the general case, starting from any sequence $r_{i} \downarrow 0$, pass to a subsequence such that $\theta\left(r_{i}\right) \rightarrow \theta_{0}(\bmod 2 \pi)$. One can readily check that $R_{\theta\left(r_{i}\right)} \Sigma_{s}$ converges to $R_{\theta_{0}} \Sigma_{s}$ in the Attouch-Wets topology. Therefore, $\Sigma / r_{i}$ converges to $R_{\theta_{0}} \Sigma_{s}$ in the sense of (1.3) by the special case and the triangle inequality for excess.

Remark 2.4. For all exponents $0<p<1$, the twisted Szulkin domains defined using the rotation function $\theta(r)=(-\log (r))^{p}$ also satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 2.3. However, there is phase transition at $p=1$. When $\theta(r)=-\log (r)$, one can show that the blow-ups of $\Sigma$ are no longer zero sets of hhp. The essential difference is that the "speed of rotation" vanishes as one zooms-in at the origin when $p<1$, but the "speed of rotation" is constant when $p=1$. When $p>1$, the "speed of rotation" goes to infinity as one zooms-in at the origin and the associated twisted Szulkin domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$are not even NTA. See Figure 2.2.
2.2. Potential Theory for the First Example. Let $r_{i} \downarrow 0$ be an arbitrary sequence of radii going to zero and let $K \gg 1$. Recall that $\Sigma \cap\left(B_{K r_{i}} \backslash B_{r_{i} / K}\right)=\Phi_{\theta}\left(\Sigma_{s} \cap\left(B_{K r_{i}} \backslash B_{r_{i} / K}\right)\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}(x)=\frac{u^{ \pm} \circ \Phi_{-\theta}^{-1}\left(r_{i} x\right) r_{i}}{\omega^{ \pm}\left(B_{r_{i}}\right)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{ \pm}$are the Green's functions with poles at infinity for $\Omega^{ \pm}$. Then in $\Omega_{s}^{ \pm} \cap B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}$, we have that $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$satisfies

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(B\left(r_{i} x\right) \nabla-\right)=0, \quad B=\left(\operatorname{det} D \Phi_{\theta}\right)^{-1}\left(D \Phi_{\theta}\right)\left(D \Phi_{\theta}\right)^{T}
$$

and $\Phi_{\theta}$ is as in (2.2).
To see that $B\left(r_{i} x\right)$ is Lipschitz regular, we note that Remark 2.1(iii) implies that $\|D B\| \leq \frac{C}{r \log (r)}$. Therefore, using the fundamental theorem of calculus along curves which stay in the annulus $B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B\left(r_{i} x\right)-B\left(r_{i} y\right)\right\| \leq C r_{i}|x-y| \sup _{B_{K r_{i}} \backslash B_{r_{i} / K}}\|D B\| \leq \frac{C K}{\left|\log \left(r_{i}\right)\right|}|x-y|, \forall x, y \in B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is independent of $i, K$. This uniform Lipschitz continuity immediately implies the next result:

Lemma 2.5. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), K>1$. The sequence $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$is pre-compact in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega_{s}^{ \pm} \cap\right.$ $\left.B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists a subsequence along which $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \kappa s$, uniformly on compacta, where $s$ is the Szulkin polynomial, for some $\kappa>0$.

Proof. We see that $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$solves an elliptic PDE with coefficients that are Lipschitz continuous and elliptic with coefficients independent of $i$. Furthermore,

$$
\sup _{B_{4 K}}\left|\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}\right| \leq C \Leftrightarrow \sup _{B_{4 K r_{i}}}\left|u^{+}\right| \leq C \frac{\omega^{+}\left(B_{r_{i}}\right)}{r_{i}}
$$

The latter inequality holds (with a $C>0$ that depends on $K$ ) by the Caffarelli-Fabes-Mortola-Salsa and doubling estimates on harmonic measure in NTA domains, see e.g. [JK82]. Then Schauder theory tells us that $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$are uniformly in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega_{s}^{+}} \cap B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}\right)$ for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$; see GT01, Theorem 8.3]. The precompactness follows.

Passing to a subsequence, we get that the sequences converges to functions $\tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}$, which solves $-\operatorname{div}\left(B_{\infty} \nabla \tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}\right)=0$ in $\Omega_{s}^{ \pm} \cap B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}$. From (2.6) we see that $B_{\infty}=$ Id and so,
invoking a diagonal argument, $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}$, uniformly on compacta in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Furthermore, $\tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}$ are positive harmonic functions in $\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}$that vanish on $\left(\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}\right)^{c}$.

Since $\left(\Omega_{s}^{ \pm}\right)^{c}$ are (global) NTA domains, the boundary Harnack inequality implies that there are scalars $\kappa_{ \pm}>0$ such that $\tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}=\kappa_{ \pm} s$ (see [KT99, Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.2]).

To wrap up, let us again note that the points $(0,0, \pm 1) \in \Omega_{s}^{ \pm}$are invariant under $\Phi_{\theta}$. Furthermore by symmetry $u^{+}(0,0,1)=u^{-}(0,0,-1)$ and $\omega^{+}\left(B_{r}\right)=\omega^{-}\left(B_{r}\right)$ for all $r$. Thus, $u_{\infty}^{+}(0,0,1)=u_{\infty}^{-}(0,0,-1)$ and this number determines the constant of proportionality with $s$.

Finally, the proof of the continuity of $\log h$ follows immediately:
Proof of $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$. We note that away from the origin, $\partial \Omega$ is smooth so continuity of the Radon-Nikodym derivative follows from classical potential theory. Furthermore, arguing by symmetry (that is, $-\Omega^{+}=\Omega^{-}$) we have that $\omega^{+}(B(0, r))=\omega^{-}(B(0, r))$ for all $r>0$. Thus, recalling that $u^{ \pm}$are the Green's function for $\Omega^{ \pm}$respectively, we are done if we can show that

$$
\lim _{\partial \Omega \ni Q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|(Q)}{\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|(Q)}=1 .
$$

(Recall that where $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, $C^{1, \alpha}$ is sufficient, the Radon-Nikodym derivative is given by the ratio of the derivatives of the Green functions [Kel12]).

Let $Q_{i} \in \partial \Omega$ with $Q_{i} \rightarrow 0$ and let $\left|Q_{i}\right|=r_{i} \downarrow 0$. Let $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$be given by (2.5). Then

$$
\frac{\omega^{ \pm}\left(B_{r_{i}}\right)}{r_{i}^{2}} D \Phi_{\theta}\left(r_{i} x\right) \nabla \tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}(x)=\nabla u^{ \pm}\left(\Phi_{-\theta}^{-1}\left(r_{i} x\right)\right)
$$

Let $\tilde{Q}_{i}=\Phi_{\theta}\left(Q_{i}\right) / r_{i} \in \Sigma_{s} \cap \partial B_{1}$. We have shown that

$$
\frac{\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|\left(Q_{i}\right)}{\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|\left(Q_{i}\right)}=\frac{\left|D \Phi_{\theta}\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) \nabla \tilde{u}_{i}^{+}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|D \Phi_{\theta}\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) \nabla \tilde{u}_{i}^{-}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|}
$$

Continuity of $\log h$ follows from Lemma 2.5 (the lemma implies that $\tilde{u}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \kappa s$ in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega_{s}} \cap\right.$ $\left.B_{2} \backslash B_{1 / 2}\right)$ ) and the fact that along some subsequence $D \Phi_{\theta}\left(r_{i} x\right) \rightarrow R_{\theta_{0}}$ for some $\theta_{0}$ (depending on the subsequence).

## 3. The Second Example: Non-Unique Flat Tangents

3.1. Description and Geometric Properties. To show non-uniqueness at "flat points" we adapt an example from Tor94]. We set $\Omega^{ \pm}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: \pm(z-v(x, y))>0\right\}$, where $v: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by setting $v(0,0)=0$,

$$
v(x, y)=x \log |\log (r)| \sin (\log |\log (r)|) \quad \text { when } 0<r=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 1 / 100
$$

and smoothly (e.g. $C^{1, \alpha}$ ) interpolating to $v(x, y)=1$ when $r \geq 1$.
Lemma 3.1 (see [Tor94, Example 2]). The graph domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$are chord-arc domains. The interface $\Sigma=\partial \Omega^{ \pm}$has a continuum of blow-ups at the origin, each of which is a plane $z=m x$ with "slope" $-\infty \leq m \leq \infty$.


Figure 3.1. Blow-ups $\Sigma / r$ of the interface $\Sigma=\partial \Omega^{ \pm}$of the graph domains. Left: $r=1$. Center: $r=10^{-6}$. Right: $r=10^{-12}$.

Remark 3.2. Moreover, $\Omega^{ \pm}$are vanishing chord-arc domains in the sense of [KT03]. This can be seen as follows. First, every pseudo blow-up (an Attouch-Wets limit $\Gamma$ of $\left(\Sigma-Q_{i}\right) / r_{i}$ with $Q_{i} \rightarrow Q$ and $\left.r_{i} \downarrow 0\right)$ is a plane. Indeed, on the one hand, if $\lim \sup _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|Q_{i}-Q\right| / r_{i}=$ $\infty$, then $\Gamma$ is a plane, because $\Sigma \backslash\{0\}$ is smooth. On the other hand, if $\left|Q_{i}\right| / r_{i} \leq C$ for all $i$, then $\Gamma$ is a translate of a blow-up at $Q$ (see [BL15, Lemma 3.7]), and thus, $\Gamma$ is a plane by Lemma 3.1. Because every pseudo blow-up is a plane, $\Sigma$ is locally Reifenberg vanishing. Now, $v \in W^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ (see [Tor94]). Hence, by Sobolev embedding, the normal vector of the interface $\hat{n} \in \operatorname{BMO}(\partial \Omega)$ with small BMO norm. Therefore, $\Omega^{ \pm}$are vanishing chord-arc domains; see e.g. [KT97, $\mathrm{BEG}^{+} 22$ ].
3.2. Potential Theory for the Second Example. Following the approach of $\$ 2.2$, we now prove that $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega) \square^{2}$ As before, because $\partial \Omega$ is smooth outside of any neighborhood of the origin, $\log h \in C^{\infty}$ on $\partial \Omega \backslash B_{r}(0)$ for any $r>0$. Thus, the key point is to show that $\log h$ is continuous at the origin.

Let $H^{ \pm}=\{ \pm z>0\}$ denote the open upper and lower half-spaces. Let $r_{i} \downarrow 0$ be arbitrary, $K \gg 1$ and write

$$
\{z=v(x, y)\} \cap\left(B_{K r_{i}} \backslash B_{r_{i} / K}\right)=\Phi^{-1}\left(\{z=0\} \cap\left(B_{K r_{i}} \backslash B_{r_{i} / K}\right)\right),
$$

where $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the homeomorphism given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, y, z) \equiv(x, y, z-v(x, y)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}(p)=\frac{u^{ \pm} \circ \Phi^{-1}\left(r_{i} p\right) r_{i}}{\omega^{ \pm}\left(B_{r_{i}}(0)\right)}$, where $u^{ \pm}$are the Green's functions with poles at infinity for $\Omega^{ \pm}$, and the $\omega^{ \pm}$are the corresponding harmonic measures. In $H^{ \pm} \cap B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}, \tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$satisfies

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(B\left(r_{i} p\right) \nabla \tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}(p)\right)=0, \quad B=(\operatorname{det} D \Phi)^{-1}(D \Phi)(D \Phi)^{T} .
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), K>1$. The sequence $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$is pre-compact in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{H^{ \pm}} \cap\right.$ $\left.B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists a subsequence along which $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \kappa z_{ \pm}$for some $\kappa>0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

[^1]Proof. We claim that $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$solves an elliptic PDE with Lipschitz continuous coefficients in $B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K} \cap H^{ \pm}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B\left(r_{i} p\right)-B\left(r_{i} q\right)\right| \leq C r_{i}|p-q|\|D B\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{K r_{i}} \backslash B_{r_{i} / K}\right)} \stackrel{\mid \text { Tor94] }}{\leq} C K r_{i} \frac{\log \left|\log \left(r_{i}\right)\right|}{r_{i}\left|\log \left(r_{i}\right)\right|}|p-q| \leq C K|p-q| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the fundamental theorem of calculus.
Arguing as in Lemma 2.5 above, $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm}$are uniformly in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{H^{+}} \cap B_{K} \backslash B_{1 / K}\right)$ for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and thus have the desired pre-compactness. Passing to a subsequence and invoking a diagonal argument $\tilde{u}_{i}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}$uniformly on compacta. Furthermore $\tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}>0$ and solves $-\operatorname{div}\left(B_{\infty} \nabla \tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}\right)=0$ in $H^{ \pm}$and has $\tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}(x, y, 0)=0$. We see in (3.2) that $B_{\infty}$ is constant (as $\left.\log \left|\log \left(r_{i}\right)\right| / \log \left(r_{i}\right) \downarrow 0\right)$ and so $-\operatorname{div}\left(B_{\infty} \nabla z\right)=0$. Again, up to scalar multiplication there is a unique signed solution of $-\operatorname{div}\left(B_{\infty} \nabla-\right)=0$ in $H^{ \pm}$which vanishes on $\{z=0\}$ and that has subexponential growth at infinity. Continuing to follow the argument for Lemma 2.5, we conclude that $\tilde{u}_{\infty}^{ \pm}=\kappa_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}$, with $\kappa_{+}=\kappa_{-}$. (Remember that $-\{z>v(x, y)\}=\{z<v(x, y)\}$, because $v$ is odd.)

Finally, the proof of the continuity of $\log h$ in this context follows exactly as in $\$ 2.2$ except that we must be more careful estimating $\left|D \Phi\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) \nabla \tilde{u}^{ \pm}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|$. (We do not know that $D \Phi\left(r_{i} p\right)$ converges to a rotation as $r_{i} \downarrow 0$.) However, observe that $\tilde{u}^{ \pm} \equiv 0$ on $\{z=0\}$, so we know that $\nabla \tilde{u}^{ \pm}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)$ is parallel to $e_{3}$. Thus, an elementary computation shows that

$$
\frac{\left|D \Phi\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) \nabla \tilde{u}^{+}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|D \Phi\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) \nabla \tilde{u}^{-}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|}=\frac{\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{+}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|\left|D \Phi\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) e_{3}\right|}{\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{-}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|\left|D \Phi\left(r_{i} \tilde{Q}_{i}\right) e_{3}\right|}=\frac{\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{+}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{-}\left(\tilde{Q}_{i}\right)\right|}
$$

The quantity on the right hand side converges to 1 by Lemma 3.3. As in $\$ 2.2$, it follows that $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$.

## 4. Open Questions and Further Directions

We end by presenting some natural open questions. Our first question concerns the size of the set of non-uniqueness:

Question 4.1. Let $\Omega^{ \pm} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be complementary NTA domains with $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$. Is it possible for

$$
N U(\Omega):=\{Q \in \partial \Omega: \text { there is no unique (geometric) blow-up at } Q\}
$$

to have Hausdorff dimension $n-1$ ?
We note that a local version of [TT22, Theorem 1.1] implies that the set $\Gamma_{1}$ of flat points in $\partial \Omega$ is uniformly rectifiable. Thus $\omega^{ \pm}(N U)=0=\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\left(N U \cap \Gamma_{1}\right)$. Further, by the main result of [BET17], $\operatorname{dim} \partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{1} \leq n-3$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(N U)=0$. On the other hand, the example of AK20 suggests that $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}\left(N U \cap \Gamma_{1}\right)>0$ may be possible.

The example in $\S 2$ (twisted Szulkin) shows that it is possible for all singular points to have non-unique blowups and for the set of singular points with non-unique blowups to
have positive $\mathcal{H}^{n-3}$-measure. (When $n \geq 4$, simply take $\Omega^{ \pm} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$.) This is sharp by [BET17]. Thus, the natural analogue of Question 4.1] is answered in the affirmative.

Our second question asks what are the possible tangent cones at points of non-unique blow-up:

Question 4.2. Let $C \subset G(n, n-1)$ be a compact, connected subset of the Grassmannian. Does there exist a pair of complementary NTA domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$with $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$ and a point $Q \in \partial \Omega$ at which $\operatorname{Tan}(\partial \Omega, Q)=C$ ?

In $\S 3$, we showed that the set $\operatorname{Tan}(\partial \Omega, 0)$ of blow-ups of the interface of the graph domains at the origin consists of all planes $z=m x$ with "slope" $-\infty \leq m \leq+\infty$. For any closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, it is not hard to adapt the example so that the blowups at the origin are exactly the planes $z=m x$ with $m \in I$. It is known that for any closed set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Q \in \Sigma$, the set $\operatorname{Tan}(\Sigma, Q)$ of all tangent sets of $\Sigma$ at $Q$ is closed and connected in the Attouch-Wets topology [BL15]; the statement and proof of this fact was originally motivated by similar statement for tangent measures [Pre87, KPT09.

We may also ask a version of Question 4.2 at points where the blow-ups are homogeneous of higher degree:

Question 4.3. Let $\mathscr{H}_{n, d}$ be the set of degree $d$ homogeneous harmonic polynomials $p$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\Omega_{p}^{ \pm}=\{ \pm p>0\}$ are NTA domains. For each $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$ and $C \subset \mathscr{H}_{n, d}$, which is compact and connected, does there exist complementary NTA domains $\Omega^{ \pm}$with $\log h \in C(\partial \Omega)$ and a point $Q \in \partial \Omega$ at which $\operatorname{Tan}(\partial \Omega, Q)=\left\{\Sigma_{p}: p \in C\right\} ?$

The condition that $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ is a union of two NTA domains is necessary for $\Sigma_{p}$ to arise as a blow-up of the interface of complementary NTA domains. The first step to answering Question 4.3 may be to study the "moduli space" of $\mathscr{H}_{n, d}$ when $d \geq 2$. For example:

Question 4.4. If $p$ and $q$ lie in the same connected component of $\mathscr{H}_{n, d}$, is it true that $\Sigma_{q}$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\Sigma_{p}$ ?
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ One can check that $\nabla s(x, y, z)=0 \Leftrightarrow(x, y, z)=(0,0,0)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ One could prove the weaker result that $\log h \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(d \omega^{+}\right)$using Remark 3.2 and standard properties of $A_{\infty}$ weights.

